

Wilmington, Aug 29th 1878
My Dear Dr. Gray

I got back last Wednesday but have been much engaged since and so have done nothing with *Baptisia*. My trouble is that I can never get two lines straight work on any thing. But I can take notes and report. I enclose what I have written of *B. calycosa*.

I was a little surprised to hear you speak of some of the species as "shakes". I have no specimens of *B. simplicifolia* and *B. megacarpa*. Of *B. perfoliata*, *Lecontei*, *tricoloria*, *sphaerocarpa*, *australis*, *leucantha*, *villosa* & *leucophaca* I have pretty complete specimens; and of *B. lanceolata* (*microphylla* (including *stipulacea*) *sericea* & *alba* good flowering specimens. I will write to Chapman Curtis and Schellhorn to get them to do for me what they can; ~~they~~ and I can see all except *B. un-*

meant in this Acad. though perhaps
the first may be lacking the - . So far
as I have seen the above seem to
be good unless it be *R. sericea* which
I don't know enough of to speak about
though I suppose I once saw it in
fruit at Aiken, S.C. and took it for
a long spiked or racemed form of *R. tinctoria*.
Then also I saw *R. stipulacea* and from
the large specimen of *R. microphylla*
in the Phila Acad. I should suppose that
Nelson was justified in writing them.
(Linn.) name is better than a whole.

Please accept thanks for slip
from Williman journal & for other parts
of the same kind.

Ever Yours
Wm. A. Aubrey